



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,167	09/752,167 12/29/2000		Merle L. Miller	2069.008600	8941
23720	7590 10/29/2004			EXAMINER	
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.				HAROLD, JEFFEREY F	
10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2644	

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/752,167 MILLER, MERLE L. **Advisory Action** Examiner Art Unit 2644 Jefferey F Harold --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit. b) exhibit. or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: . Claim(s) objected to: . Claim(s) rejected: _____. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. ☐ Other: ____

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2644

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 3, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, the Zhou reference more than adequately meets the claimed limitations. In column 9, lines 58-64 discloses wherein the quad converters 506 performs an A/D conversion (802) on signals received from XASLICs 504 and provides a 4MHz serial data stream to the DSP 508. Further, as disclosed in figure 3, each one of the converters (314) are divided into four quad converters (506). Each quad converter provides an A/D and D/A conversion interface between the four XASLICs (504) and the DSP (508). AC input signals from the XASLICs (504) "such as voice signals" are sampled..., as disclosed at column 7, lines 34-40. Therefore the elements in figure 8 meet the limitations of claim 1 of processing voice signal, DC feed control and ring trip detection.